

# An Obvious Safety Signal

By Craig Paardekooper

The cartoonist Scott Adams stated in a video that vaccine sceptics "won" because we based our decision upon our heuristic not to trust the government or big business rather than upon all the scientific research that he did.

Well, our decision not to trust the government was based on a lot of evidence. Prior to 2020 I had faith in the government and voted for them. So, I had no trust issues before.

I should also add that there was also a sense of strangeness. The governments were acting in an unnatural way - it felt odd - as if they were guided by a blind ideological extremism that denied logical solutions and urged them to destroy the lives of healthy people and businesses. The simultaneous global lockstep of all governments in this "insanity" was another unnatural feature of the situation – what-ever was driving them went beyond individual motives for greed and power - it was all being controlled and orchestrated centrally - as if all the governments were obeying some unelected central power.

And the governments passed new laws that negated our rights - held consultations as to how non-compliers might be certified as insane then force medicated - and consultations on whether to eliminate liability for any harms caused by a hypothetical vaccine. They seemed obsessed with the idea that an "as-yet-uncreated-vaccine" was the only possible solution and would be the only reason lockdown would end. This felt very sinister. How could they be so obsessed with something that had not even been created at the time. The whole situation was terrifying to observe. This, coupled with the mindless, and faceless drones walking around in masked isolation and following one-way designated walking tracks in supermarkets...

For Scott Adams not to see all this as anomalous, is actually a symptom of tunnel vision. It's worth carefully defining what made us distrustful because it saved our lives - and the intuitive process that alerted us may save our lives again.

When we consider safety signals for different drugs, perhaps the greatest safety signal, and in some ways the most obvious one, is the record of past actions of those manufacturing the drug, those authorizing it, and those mandating it. If those past actions indicate a criminal character, and a willingness to hype or deceive, to injure or murder, then this is a safety signal.

When focusing our minds on specific drugs, it's easy to forget the character of the producers, pushers and policy makers. Instead of asking "*I wonder if this drug is safe?*" should we really be starting from such a neutral stance? Wouldn't it be better to say "These people are murderers. Should I be trusting anything they say on a label or put in a bottle?"

Poor character is the greatest safety signal, and Pharma has an extensive criminal record. Those who ignore this safety signal pay a heavy price - <https://howbad.info/survey.php>

Here are documents that illustrate the character behind the Covid push -

[Mal-Intent](#) , [Culture Change](#) , [Midazolam Murders](#) , [CDC Director Admits](#) , [Matt Hancock](#) , [WHO Hides the Differences](#) , [Removing liability](#) , [Recovery Trials](#) , [John Campbell on Euthanasia](#) , [Chris Martensen on Midazolam](#) , [DNRs](#)